KV PHARMACEUTICAL COMPANY

October 25, 1999

Dockets Management Branch (HFA-305) Food and Drug Administration 5630 Fishers Lane Room 1061 Rockville, MD 20852



RE: Docket No. 99D-2635; Draft Guidance for Industry on ANDA's: Blend Uniformity Analysis ("BUA")

Dear Madam/Sir:

KV Pharmaceutical Company hereby submits these comments on the above-referenced draft guidance document. A notice of the availability of the document was published in the Federal Register on August 27, 1999, at 64 Fed. Reg. 46917, inviting written comments to be submitted by October 26, 1999.

The draft BUA guidance document for ANDAs raises a number of issues regarding the scope of BUA requirements which are not - but should be - addressed in the guidance. One of the most significant issues raised by the draft guidance is whether the guidance is intended to be consistent with the long-standing FDA interpretation of the cGMP requirements for in process tests in the area of blend uniformity or, instead, reflects a new, previously unannounced, and still-unarticulated agency policy in this area.

Historically, when BUA has been applicable to a product, it has been required to be conducted routinely on commercial batches only until a sufficient number have been produced to enable statistically based trend and variability analyses to conclude, with a high degree of confidence, that the procedures employed and the controls applied are adequate to assure blend uniformity. Typically, such conclusions have been accepted by the agency - in the context of both cGMP inspections and supplemental NDA/ANDA reviews - on the basis of the manufacturing and testing history of between 10 and 20 batches, in addition to the data from scale-up batches and process validation testing. Checks on the continued validity of this conclusion, as well as the continued robustness of other aspects of the approved manufacturing processes, are performed in the context of regular process re-validation studies. The long-standing agency acceptance of this approach has enabled the elimination of extensive and time-consuming routine blend uniformity tests which, over time, only become more and more redundant and pointless once the robustness of the applicable blend processes has been established.

The draft guidance does not state that the agency intends to change this long-standing approach to BUA, nor does it contain any information which would suggest a need or rationale for any change. However, by failing to include in the guidance a description of the well-established criteria currently applicable in assessing requests to curtail BUA for particular approved products, the draft guidance appears to open the door inappropriately for new and *ad hoc* requirements to be applied by individual reviewers and divisions. If the agency now intends to follow - or allow individual reviewers or reviewing divisions to follow - a different approach, this should be clearly stated by the agency and the rationale for such change should be articulated so that interested parties can

990-2635

Whether or not a change in cGMP or ANDA review policy is intended to be signaled by the draft BUA guidance, a guidance document on BUA would be substantially incomplete without including specific guidance on the type and extent of experience and data that is regarded by the agency as adequate to support the termination of routine BUA testing. This draft provides no guidance at all on this singularly pivotal issue.

Finally, we are concerned that the current guidance, by its terms, applies solely to ANDA applicants. There is nothing about the issues involved in BUA testing, either from a cGMP or new drug product approval perspective, which turns on whether the product involved is the subject of an abbreviated or "full" new drug application. For this reason, we question whether it makes sense at all for the agency to finalize the draft in its present form and whether, instead, the agency should not be approaching these issues on a more global basis so that proper standards are articulated and applied consistently for both ANDA and NDA applicants.

Sincerely,

KV Pharmaceutical Company

Eric Moyerman Vice President

Pharmaceutical Division

1 1 **X** • USA Airbill Tracking Number Packages up to 150 lbs. 4a Express Package Service From This portion can be removed for Recipient's records. 81359433848D FedEx First Overnight FedEx Standard Overnight FedEx Priority Overnight FedEx Tracking Number RECIPIENT: PEEL HERE Sender's FedEx 2Day*
Second business day FedEx Express Saver FedEx Letter Rate not available inimum charge: One-pound rate Packages over 150 lbs. 4b Express Freight Service V PHARMACEUTICAL FedEx 3Day Freight FedEx 2Day Freight Second business day FedEx 1Day Freight* 2303 SCHUÊTZ RD * Call for Confirmation: Dent /Floor/Suite/Room * Declared value limit \$500 Packaging City SAINT LOUIS 53146 Other Pkg. Includes FedEx Box, FedEx Tube, and customer pkg. FedEx Letter* FedEx Pak* State 2 Your Internal Billing Reference **Special Handling** 6 HOLD Weekday at FedEx Location Not available with FedEx First Overnight Sunday Delivery **Saturday Delivery** To THUNGHIE Recipient's Does this shipment contain dangerous goods?

One box must be checked. No Yes
As per attached Shipper's Daclaration not require
Dangerous Goods cannot be shipped in FedEx packaging. Diffinitister from Cargo Aircraft Only Obtain Recip. Acct. No Payment Bill to: Third Party Cash/Check Credit Card Recipient To HOLD at Feets location, print Feets, adgress here. Total Charges Total Packages Our liability is limited to \$100 unless you declare a higher value. See the FedEx Service Guide for deta Release Signature 359 and agree to indemnify and hold us harmless from any Questions? Call 1:800 Go FedEx Visit our Web site at www.fedex.com 12365359 -STANDARD OVERNIGHT Fed 歌. TUE Emp# 39671 250CT99 Deliver By: 260CT99 AA 9433 -MD-US